



Hot Topics Series 2011

Developing an Integrated Water Management Strategy

Wednesday 23rd February

MC: Sheridan Blunt & Penny Mueller

It suppright clear water I were clear water as it as





Tania Struzina Clearwater

Training and Marketing Coordinator

copylight character / www.chievethi.ant.au





About Clearwater

- We aim to increase the uptake of sustainable water management
- Not-for-profit capacity building program endorsed by government
- Work with Stormwater Vic and AWA
- Partnership with Australia's largest stormwater research program; *Cities as Water Supply Catchments*
- Technical training, events/seminars and tours
- Tailored solutions
- Hot Topics a forum for the industry to discuss emerging issues, share information, develop shared approaches and celebrate achievements
- Who's Who Stormwater Event 9th March
- WSUD Celebration 24th March



Overview of today

Welcome

Phil Edwards Penny Mueller & Sheridan Blunt Sara Lloyd

Morning Tea

Daniella Gerente & Elissa Blake Penny Mueller & Sheridan Blunt Ralf Pfleiderer

Marion Urrutiaguer Penny Mueller & Sheridan Blunt Lunch Melbourne Water Moonee Valley City Council AECOM

Knox City Council Moonee Valley City Council Melbourne City council

Melbourne Water Moonee Valley City Council





What would you like from today's session?





Phil Edwards

Melbourne Water

Integrated Water Management Partnership Manager

copylight character / www.chiwelite.aarcag



Why Committing to Targets is Important

Phil Edwards IWM Partnership Manager





Why committing to targets is important

- Local community outcomes.
- Regional environment outcomes.
- WSUD in context of emerging Integrated Water Management responsibilities.
- Stormwater focus for waterway health



How do targets fit in?

Local Considerations.

- Links with council water management initiatives
- Physical Characteristics of Council Area
- Current Strategic Context
- Opportunities for Implementation
- Capacity to deliver WSUD



WSUD implementation targets

What does a strategic approach look like?

- Guidelines to support local development
- Support programs for local government with funding assistance
- A framework for reporting on local WSUD outcomes

WSUD implementation targets

What are targets?

- Stormwater pollutant load or flow reduction
- Potable water saving
- Alternative water use for additional benefit
- Wastewater reduction and groundwater quality and quantity.

The End Game

Stormwater managed for local & regional outcomes

Partnership

Working together for a sustainable water future

• Our partnership is important

- Our regional waterway environment is important
- Our community is important

Penny Mueller Senior Sustainability Officer Sheridan Blunt

Sustainable Environment Coordinator

Moonee Valley City Council

Setting the scene

Penny Mueller and Sheridan Blunt, Moonee Valley City Council

What's in a name?

- •Integrated Water Management
- •Sustainable Water Management
- •Total Water Management
- •City as a Catchment

Water sensitive urban design

Consider and plan all elements of water together...

Water Sensitive Urban Design – comes in many forms

START WITH DEMAND MANAGEMENT !!!

Consider and plan water together with the city

Consider and plan water together with all environment issues

Consider and plan all elements of water together They all help each other...

What is in an *integrated water management strategy*?

- **Policy** (context and commitments)
- **Targets** (to help guide and measure)
- Actions (in the ground, and with people)
- Guidelines (help staff and developers)
- Regulations (planning schemes, watermaps)
- **Communication** (for all of the above)

How to set good looking targets ...

We need to:

- 1. Know what is currently happening to water in our municipality
 - Where and how much is used
 - Quantifying pollutants carried with water flows
- 2. Decide what we want this to look like in future
 - Water saving
 - Stormwater quality
 - Wastewater
 - Groundwater
 - Atmospheric water

How do Councils find this out?

Maribyrnong River, Moonee Ponds Creek

Maribyrnong River, Moonee Ponds Creek

Understand our pollution balance

Pollutant	Effect on environment	Source
Total Suspended Solids <i>e.g. dirt, tyre</i> <i>residue</i> TSS	 Reduces light in water available for plants Reduces supply of food for other organisms Forms thick deposits in lakes and streams which suffocate organisms 	Council roads (71%), Private impervious (17%) Private roof (9%)
Total Nitrogen e.g. airborne pollutants, fertiliser TN	 Causes excessive plant growth which can choke waterways and affect oxygen levels, which threatens fish and other animals Causes blue-green algae blooms which can be fatal to fauna affect human health. 	Private roof (45%) Council road (30%) Private impervious (9%)
Total Phosphorus e.g. fertilisers and detergents TP		Council roads (53%) Private roof (23%) Private impervious (18%)
Gross Pollutants e.g. litter, debris	•Unsightly. Animals can eat and choke on this material	

80:45:45 **TSS:TN:TP**

What is this? Why is it the centre of the stormwater world? How does it relate to setting targets?

What progress has been made to date?

Existing target	Where we are now?
40% reduction in Council mains water use by 2020	43% reduction by 2008/09
40% reduction in community mains water use by 2020	11% reduction by 2008/09
15% of Council water use to be from alternative water sources by 2012	11% of council water from alternative sources
Improve water quality of the Moonee Ponds Creek and Maribyrnong River	 10% reduction in total suspended solids 4% reduction in nitrogen 7% reduction in phosphorus 6% reduction in litter
	\checkmark

Note : All targets are based on 2001 levels

How much will you spend?

Council currently spends \$331,000 pa (including grants) for WSUD projects To meet targets, Council needs to spend:

- Concept and detailed designs \$100,000 per year
- WSUD construction \$330,000 per year
- Maintenance \$60,000

Draft targets for Moonee Valley

By 2020, Moonee Valley is aiming for:

Water saving

- 50% reduction in Council mains water use
- 40% reduction in Community mains water use

Alternative water use

• 20% of Council water use to be from alternative water sources

Stormwater quality targets (we meet 80:45:45 in 2117)

- 16% reduction in total suspended solids
- 9% reduction in total nitrogen
- 12% reduction in total phosphorus
- 8% reduction in litter
- reduction in directly connected imperviousness

Wastewater, groundwater and atmospheric water targets are still to be determined.

Sara Lloyd AECOM

Director, Design and Planning

Withpelight character / www.chiniwells.aut.au

Setting of targets for integrated water management strategies

Sara Lloyd

Key Steps in the Framework

Identify appropriate IWM targets based on natural assets and councils vision

Water balance

• Water consumption, wastewater generation, groundwater consumption, stormwater generation (flow and quality)

Setting targets

 Potable water use reduction, stormwater quality improvement, flow management, alternative water use, wastewater minimisation, sustainable groundwater use

Future water balance

 climate change, increased need for functional POS, population growth projections)

Identifying appropriate targets

What are the key natural assets and/or areas desirable to protect?

• What are the key threats?

What are councils long term vision for:

- Groundwater use and management?
- Potable water use?
- Wastewater/recycled water use?
- Stormwater use

Existing targets

Water balance



Pollutant budget









Mains water consumption

Annual metered consumption Council, residential, nonresidential





Stormwater

- Runoff volumes and pollutant loads
- Rainwater capture and use
- Surface water diversions/stormwater harvesting











Wastewater generation

- Generation rates
- External sources
- Availability and current use of recycled water
- Septics
- Local STPs





Groundwater resources

Regional groundwater summary
−Upper aquifer & lower aquifer
> depth and salinity

Groundwater consumption
Annual metered consumption
➢ council, commercial
− Estimated domestic consumption
➢ residential



Setting Targets

Key considerations:

- Identify primary and secondary targets
- Interim and long term targets
- Assess benefits associated with WSUD







Coventry St, South Melbourne

Field Harne	Field Value
FID	30
Shape	Fort
0	31
Cabogory	Council Parks Bang, Directocopes
Prinary Strolegic focus area	57
Action Name (Location)	Covertry SI, South Mebourne
Date	2009/10
VISLD system type	Ren gentery
Matris woder conserved	844
Misstewater flow reduction	ма
Stornweiter flow reduction	0
TSS Reduction (hg/yr)	1460
TP Reduction (light)	1.54
TN Reduction (kg/yr)	26
magajuta	1



	Water stream	Land ownership	Baseline year 1000 Volume generated (ML/yr)	Current 2006/2007 Volume reduction (ML/yr)	Current 2006/2007 % reduction	2020 reduction target
Demand	Mains water supply	Municipality (total)	25 470	2.467	10%	40%
		Council	1 685	473*	2896	40%
		Private residential	5 541	1 088*	20%	40%
		Commercial/industrial	18 243	1017	6%	40%
Source	Stormwater	Municipality (total)	13 466	250	2%	n/a
	Wastewater	Municipality (total)	22 510	2.076	0.96	0/a

• current water use includes reductions attributed to water restrictions as well as to the installation of permanent demand management fixture and fittings

2008	TSS		TP		TN	
	Current load removed (kg/yr)	% attainment 2020 target	Current load removed (kg/yr)	% attainment 2020 target	Current load removed (kg/yr)	% attainment 2020 target
Municipality (total)	67 107	18%	165	39%	1,177	36%
Council	37 798	21%	105	54%	778	91%
Private residential	2 651	5%	5	8%	35	5%
Commercial / industrial	26 658	20%	54	34%	364	22%



Reductions in mains water use					
Council	70% reduction				
Community	50% reduction per capita				
Supply from alternative water sources					
Council	15% of 2000/01 water use or 50% of future projected outdoor water use ⁺				
Reductions in indoor water use^					
Council	9% on 2000/2001				
Community	3% reduction per capita				
Stormwater quality reductions in mean annual pollutant loads					
	Reasonable and achievable	Aspirational			
TSS	19%	26%			
TP	15%	20%			
TN	10% 13%				
Proposed 2020 water management targets					

Proposed 2020 water management targets
 *All reductions relative to 2000/2001 unless otherwise stated
 +Outdoor water use for irrigation purposes
 AReductions in indoor water use translate closely into reductions in wastewater discharge







WSUD Treatment	Reasonable and achievable	Aspirational
Water conservation – reduction in council mains water use (ML/yr)	9.3	12.7
Water conservation – reduction in private mains water use (ML/yr)	11.8	16.4
Alternative water sources - council supply (ML/yr)	8.6	12.0
Reduction in TSS loads (kg/yr)	10,973	15,255
Reduction in TPloads (kg/yr)	18	25
Reduction in TN loads (kg/yr)	88	122



Future water balance

Quantify the impacts of:

- Population growth on future water demands and stormwater generation
- Climate change impacts on water supply opportunities







Key learnings

- Requires a multidisciplinary team to appreciated the complexities of unique attributes within different municipalities
- Collaboration with council to address specific needs
- Sourcing data from stakeholders may impose time delays
- Don't assume data is correct without reality checks along the way
- Involve top tier managers









Floor Questions

Phil Edwards Sheridan Blunt Penny Mueller Sara Lloyd



Morning Tea









Daniella Gerente

Water Sensitive Cities Project Officer Elissa Blake

Drainage Engineer Knox City Council

Developing a WSUD & Stormwater Management strategy for Knox

Hot Topics: Integrated Water Management Strategies

February 2011



Presentation Outline



- Council's story developing a WSUD & S/W Management strategy
- Identifying high-value catchments
- A framework for WSUD prioritisation
- Wicks Reserve Bio-Infiltration System Project helps find gaps in Council policies & processes and define strategy
- WSUD Strategy Targets
- Opportunities Benefits of the strategy



Motivation for a WSUD strategy (

- Council is already spending large amounts on WSUD.
- Is it being spent in the most cost-effective way?
- How should we prioritise our WSUD activities?
 - By "threat" (e.g. Hotspots)
 - Or by values (i.e. values of receiving waters).
- Need an integrated soundly-based strategy



Strategy based on...



- * Council's responsibility in relation to stormwater
- * Methods to analyse the state of Knox's waterways
 - Not just "pollution threat" but focussed on "waterway value"
 - Identifying high value waterways, as well as pollution hotspots
- * What other Councils were doing in relation to stormwater management and WSUDs
- * Consult Council staff internal stakeholders to define gaps in Council policies and processes in relation to WSUD









Figure 1. Effective imperviousness vs. waterway health. Waterways in good condition all have catchments with less than 2% effective imperviousness. Source: Walsh et al. (2005).

Knax City Council

Identifying high-value catchments

- Effective Imperviousness of every catchment in Knox mapped
- High Value Catchment Areas highlighted in red

Knax City Council



A framework for WSUD prioritisation



WSUD Strategy (proposed % of investment)



- <u>High-Value Catchments Program (50%)</u> Large environmental outcome.
- <u>Opportunistic Retrofit Program (15%)</u> Large capacity-building outcome.
- <u>Hotspots Program (10%)</u> Large water quality outcome.
- <u>Planning Program (10%)</u> Large environmental outcome at low cost.
- <u>Maintenance Program (10%)</u> Large outcome at very low cost.
- Monitoring & reporting (5%) Important feedback.



Wicks Reserve Bio-Infiltration System

Project helps find gaps in Council policies & processes and define strategy



WSUD Strategy Targets



Implementation Target 1: Modelled performance of individual WSUD projects

Implementation Target 2:

Reduction in Effective Imperviousness (EI)

Waterway Health Target 1: Improve Water quality & aquatic life





Opportunities – Benefits of the strategy

• Funding & Support -

- For projects in <u>high value waterways</u> and <u>hotspot pollution</u> areas from Melbourne Water & Council
- Partnerships with water authorities and universities
- Partnerships within Council departments
- Council's Councillors & Management support for projects
- Potential to work with neighbouring Councils
- Community awareness and engagement



Engagement within Council / Community engagement



Knex City Council

Knox City Council





Penny Mueller Senior Sustainability Officer Sheridan Blunt

Sustainable Environment Coordinator

Moonee Valley City Council

Linking strategy to action

Penny Mueller and Sheridan Blunt, MVCC



Choosing the best value WSUD project to spend its \$ on?

Sustainable Water Hierarchy

When choosing a water source for a site, the following hierarchy is to be considered:

Local catchment

- 1. Demand Management
- 2. Roof runoff capture and reuse
- 3. Stormwater harvesting

Local/Regional catchment

- 4. Waterway sourcing
- 5. Wastewater recycling

Regional catchment

- 6. Mains water
- 7. Groundwater (bore water) extraction

This hierarchy is suitable for a downstream, highly urbanised municipality.


Early days...back of the envelope

<u>To treat 260 sq. metres</u> of road reserve catchment 1 sq. metre of WSUD treatment = 1 small wsud street tree pit = 26 kilograms of TSS removed

<u>To treat 5 ha of catchment</u> 1000 sq. metre of WSUD treatment = 1 small wetland

= 2600 kilograms of TSS removed

 =
 260 kilograms of TSS removed

 <u>Catchment</u>
 <u>To treat 1000 sq. metres</u> of road reserve catchment

30 sq. metre of WSUD treatment = porous paving = 100 kilograms of TSS removed

To treat 2500 sq. metres

of road reserve catchment

10 sq. metre of WSUD treatment

1 raingarden

However there will still be some new works that will lower stormwater quality. These are accounted for with negative points...

1,000m2 pervious open space = 1,000m2 impervious surface = - 160 kg/vr

1,000m2 pervious open space

1,000m2 porous paving

 $= -30 \, kg/vr$

To treat 1 ha of catchment 40 sq. metre of WSUD treatment = 1 swale = 1290 kilograms of TSS removed

To treat 2500 sq. metres of roof 1.3 ML/year of roofwater reused = 100 KL rainwater tank = 26 kilograms of TSS removed

Early days...back of the envelope

To treat 260 sq. metres of road reserve catchment 1 sq. metre of WSUD treatment = 1 small wsud street tree pit = 26 kilograms of TSS removed

<u>To treat 5 ha of catchment</u> 1000 sq. metre of WSUD treatment = 1 small wetland = 2600 kilograms of TSS removed

However there will still be some new works that will lower stormwater quality. These are accounted for with negative points... To treat 2500 sq. metres of road reserve catchment 10 sq. metre of WSUD treatment = 1 raingarden = 260 kilograms of TSS removed

To treat 1000 sq. metres of road reserve catchment

30 sq. metre of WSUD treatment = porous paving = 100 kilograms of TSS removed

1,000m2 pervious open space = 1,000m2 porous paving = - 30 kg/yr

1,000m2 pervious open space = 1,000m2 impervious surface = - 160 kg/yr <u>To treat 1 ha of catchment</u> 40 sq. metre of WSUD treatment = 1 swale = 1290 kilograms of TSS removed <u>To treat 2500 sq. metres of roof</u> 1.3 ML/year of roofwater reused

100 KL rainwater tank

26 kilograms of TSS removed

Moonee Valley – TSS story

Baseload = 988,551 Target:16% by 2020 = 158,000 To date:10% achieved = 99,000

Still to go: 6% = 59,000 kg/pa 45 swales? Two Afton Street Wetlands 226 raingardens? (Kingston has over 150...)

How do these projects help to meet targets?





Blair Street Raingardens – 329kg TSS 2.4kg TN 0.6kg TP

Progress to target – 0.6%



Doyle Street Raingardens – 290kg TSS 0.9kg TN 0.4kg TP

Progress to target – 0.5%



Afton Street Wetland – 30,900kg TSS 200kg TN 51kg TP 20.1ML water

Progress to target – 52%



Valley Lake wetland – 28,100kg TSS 117kg TN 19kg TP 5.3ML water

Progress to target – 47%

At what cost?

WSUD tree pit in residential area

Median price \$2,000 per tree Wetland costs

Median Price \$120 per m2

Raingarden

Median price \$1,000 per m2

Porous Paving

Approximately \$150-\$500 per m2

Swale

Median price \$90 per m2

Maintenance

Link to map of projects

813.

4 2

З.

EXMIDERIND N.S. 662 E. WSUD 51 -ANNOER PO 44 68 **Output Swimming Pool** 49 UP. 0 10 42 854 Hains Water kt. ÷. 43. 6 850 Waste Water kt. 0 656 2 39 Storm Water kt. BLAIR ST (*) BLAIR ST Φ. Tas-log ** 329 MT ALEXANDER RD To kg * 0.6 In kg * Z.A. Litter kg * 6.8 811 33 **Construct Planned Date** đ $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{i}$ 809 834 33 807 **Construct Date** 834. ¥ WT MEXANDER RD 29. **Best Proclice** 100% diam'r. 828 Hanagement * Moonee Valley City Cou M 801 \$26 Haintenance 17 799 824 MT MEXANDER PO' Made Manager Bave Cancel ٩. POBINSO 783 INTRAMAPS 6 3 Statistics. Ersterprise Etition 4 816 100 1.000.000





Ralf Pfleiderer Melbourne City Council

Water Sensitive Urban Design Coordinator

Implementing and Tracking Water Sensitive Urban Design

Ralf Pfleiderer WSUD Coordinator





TOTAL WATERMARK -CITY AS A CATCHMENT



Water Strategy

In 2007, City of Melbourne modelled sources and sinks for water use and stormwater pollution.

In 2009, targets were adopted for; •Water saving

- Alternative water use
- •Stormwater quality improvement
- Wastewater
- •Groundwater

Targets based on track record and best industry knowledge at the time.



Water Strategy supported by...

City of Melbourne WSUD Guidelines

Applying the Model WSUD Guidelines An initiative of the inner Melboome Action Plan

Water Management Plan (2009-2013) Parks, Gardens and Open Spaces

Ingenerated 2000

Design and Uttan Environment.







2020 Targets

- Stormwater quality improvement
 - decrease total suspended solids load by 20%
- Water saving
 - 90% reduction in Councils potable water use
- Alternative water use
 - Council to source 30% of it's 480ML water needs from alternative sources



Stormwater targets achieved to date

- 13.2% of council managed land being treated
- 79% of 2020 TSS target achieved already
- 16% of total modelled TSS load removed
- 20.6 million litres of available storage
- 256 million litres of recycled stormwater



_		· F	H		12	1.	10	0.		9:	8.	- 3	T	W.	14	
								Propendiation (ML)			Darkuser pådar bahekolor			abadudus		
		Anna birry 3 materi	VERI (provincial)	No.	Californi ani/bit	Congenies dan	Mahanan Internet	Atomics was padd was	videves presed	Valmatin Rija wiladici	Reader Reader	799.946	976	1994	ikeis Pokorto NJ	
	51							. Came	ini kanan							
	۰.				-				Parket						10	
		Rought at Without 1 Percentation	and any set	- 194	1985	(ing th	10	87			۰.	-			-	
		Pijan Pastore	President an		100	. Apr III	9.	6								
	7	Fairb	Lobiet Apperture			ine th						422	-		-	
	1	Party inter	formation.	-	-	140.01	14	M	_	_	- 444		-	1525	4	
	,	Annalus Taba Galim Jacob	-		44	Beeff	- 18	-				-		in		
	14	Partie Pat	Foregreen, Second explose production (1995)		1.00	11-12					83	346		*	8.1	
	R.			•												
	0	Post and interest	havenet)											
		1 ist	Augument Recommend		2					h						
		Realited Second Cold	Panet 144	ein -	i.		32	10	e	3						
	n.	tale Hampiet Detter Gene	Calorer Table	*	9	-	1	Ŷ		2						
		111	Raises Take		2	-										
		Unit Faitures	Carlos -													
	11	10.700		Distant I	119.4	1	24	43. 8	8 B)		P 107	P]] 4.4	853	C SPECT	3388	
	М.,	2009-09	-	LING L	28.5	123			0 0	11	9 946	19.0	42.5	3178	348	0
		And all the state		456.0	10.0		1.5				1 18.4	24.0	100	67.64	110	100
		1111-2112		7900.0	BILL .		4.1 8	41 0	0 0	0 04	1170	0 247	100	a.140	171	1
	1	THE R. LEWIS		1000.8	12.0			1.0 1	8 82	2 741			112	2148	224	
	100	Total .		Sec. 1	100	1. 1. N		-			ALC: NO.			1.11	1.1.1	COLUMN STATE

Stormwater Pollution Data

	Land	Pollutant	2020 %	2020 Load Reduction Targe*	Load Reduction	% of total	% of 2020	Load Reduction Needed*		
Pollutant	Ownership	Load generation*	Reductio n Targets		Progress to June 2010*	Load Removed	Target Removed	2010-2020	Annually	
		1,441,25								
TSS	Total	4		288,250						
					106,15					
	Council	670,536	20%	134,107	7	16%	79%	27,950	2,795	
	Private	770,718	20%	154,143		0%				
TP	Total	2,939		606		0%				
	Council	1,289	15%	193	200	16%	103%	-7	-0.7	
	Private	1,650	25%	412		0%				
TN	Total	22,318		8,381		0%				
	Council	5,459	30%	1,637	1,129	21%	69%	509	51	
	Private	16,859	40%	6,743		0%				
Gross Pollutant s	Total	36,2150		108,645		0%				
	Council	81,380	30%	24,414	23,229	29%		1,185	118.5	
	Private	280,770	30%	84,231						

*Load data given in kg per annum

Summary Issues

- Non-council data is not freely available and hard / impossible to chance up
- MUSIC requires a minimum data input of catchment size, storage size and reuse demand for results. Often only tank size is known
- Tracking requires high input of time and skill
- Update as industry knowledge change



Possible solutions for tracking

- A best guess pollution generation model based on tank size for certain application
 - residential home
 - apartment tower/ office tower etc.
- Revise targets based on catchment area treated to BMP
- Flow based, reduced/treated to BMP
- Others?



Implementation Plan













ta

Business case

- Treat 20-30 ha of catchment per year
- Approx \$560,000 capital cost per year to exceed the annual reduction targets of 9,500kg TSS (less ¼ of raingarden WSUD capital cost)
- Annual maintenance commitment of \$10,000 per system (less then 10% of standard WSUD)



Passive Irrigation

- Areas where harvesting or other WSUD not possible
- Watering street trees in busy CBD streets & avenues
- Monitoring needed to determine any pollution reduction



Beyond policy...

Day to day grind to implementation (turning the titanic)







Internal Commitment



- Champions (other then you)
 indentify and foster them
- Cross corporate relationship building
- Insert yourself into key meeting groups
 - Streetscape and parks planning/design
 - Assist with planning application
- Work to resolve actual or perceived issues



Profile raise it high and keep it there

- Get know to the executive
- Look for icon projects to involve WSUD
- Get your face know around council
- External exposure to raise council profile (and by default commitment)
 - Community talks
 - Industry conferences
 - Tours (if you have existing projects)





Limitations



- Work with (or around) limitations
 - Budgets
 - Find funding
 - Tack-on to other projects
 - Personalities
 - Slow, patience, persistence, be cooperative, help problem solve, don't push too hard
 - Contracts
 - Lateral thinking
 - How are other council doing it?







Floor Questions

Daniella Gerente & Elissa Blake

Penny Mueller & Sheridan Blunt

Ralf Pfleiderer





Marion Urrutiaguer Melbourne Water

Senior Stormwater Quality Planner

copylight character / www.chievethi.ant.au



Developing a Strategic Approach to WSUD Implementation

Guidelines for Councils



Marion Urrutiaguer



Stormwater Management

 Need for collaboration and commitment across the region



 As a caretaker of river health, Melbourne Water aims to provide support to local government to implement sustainable stormwater management practices

Capacity building through opportunistic implementation



⇒ Moving to a strategic implementation of WSUD

Moving to a strategic approach to WSUD implementation

Why?

- Link environmental objectives to implementation
- Embed WSUD as a standard practice
- Translate a vision into commitment to action
- Provide a strong basis to form or consolidate partnership arrangements
- Assist reporting on WSUD outcomes

WSUD Implementation Targets Guidelines

In short...

- **Target audience:** council officers who are responsible for developing WSUD Implementation targets.
- Content:
 - a methodology to develop WSUD Implementation targets
 - learning from MW and councils who have adopted targets
- Why? To help councils interested in developing a strategic approach to WSUD Implementation

Context and focus of the guidelines

Integrated Water Management



- In their broadest scope, WSUD implementation targets encompass:
 - stormwater (reduction of pollutant loads and/or connection of impervious areas to waterways);
 - o water saving (reduction of potable water consumption);
 - o alternative water use;
 - o wastewater reduction; and
 - o groundwater quality and quantity.

The guidelines focus more strongly on stormwater management for river health

Facilitating WSUD – multiple benefits – multiple actors



Content: Key steps of the methodology proposed

- Project scoping
- Understanding the municipal catchment:
 - o Water and pollutant balance
 - o Waterways condition DCI mapping
 - Other issues (e.g. litter hotspots, implementation of WSUD in new developments)
- Understanding opportunities
- Setting WSUD targets
- Action planning





E N G A G E M E N T
How to best utilise the guidelines

- Modular approach
- Working document
- Not a template
- Many examples
- A starting point



Explanation of the Guidelines layout

A modular approach has been taken with developing the Guidelines and it is intended that each section may be considered on its own.

Throughout the Guidelines, coloured highlight boxes are used to identify:

Examples of council responses to WSUD implementation targets.

Further guidance on development of WSUD implementation targets.

Actions and tasks to consider:

potential actions, tasks and responses for a council to consider, such as establishing a water vision and developing WSUD implementation targets.

Guidelines 'road map'

KEY STEP OR ACTION	MAJOR OUTPUTS	INDICATIVE ELAPSED TIMELINE
Getting Started consider the role of WSUD implementation targets and obtain approvals (see Section 1) Am: Getting started on WSUD implementation targets	 Approval to prepare WSUD implementation targets 	1 to 2 months depending on approval processes
 Determining the Physical Characteristics of a Council Area assess the physical characteristics and the water balance and diffuse pollutant load of a Council area (see Section 2) Are Understanding the physical characteristics of a Council area 	 integrated water and pollutant balance 	Up to 4 to 6 months depending on complexity of water balance
Current Strategic Context develop an overall Council vision and framework for WSUD implementation targets (see Section 3) Aim: Developing a water milor and accessing the abutegic context for WSLID implementation targets	 High-level Council water vision Assessment of the strategic context for WSUD implementation targets 	1 to 2 months depending on engagement approach and level of assessment
Opportunities for Implementation assess opportunities for implementation of WSUD implementation targets (see Section 4) Am Assessing opportunities on Cauriof and Non-Coord land	 Analysis of apital Works Programs and Budgets Assessment of opportunities to achieve targets on non-Council land 	1 to 2 months depending on level of assessment and engagement approach
5. Setting Objectives and WSUD Implementation Targets develop water objectives and establish WSUD imlementation targets (see Section 5) Aim Establishing water objectives and setting robust WSUD target based on appropriate modeling and costing	 High-level water objectives A set of WSUD implementation targets Scenario modelling and costing to support targets 	2 to 4 months depending on level of assessment

The Living Rivers Stormwater Program offers support to councils to develop a strategic approach to WSUD.

David Greenwood	Melanie Holmes	Matt Mulqueeney	Nick Paulin
 Bayside 	Banyule	Bass Coast	 Boroondara
 Dandenong 	 Brimbank 	Baw Baw	 Glen Eira
 Frankston 	 Darebin 	Cardinia	 Maribyrnong
Kingston	• Hume	• Casey	 Maroondah
• Knox	Nillumbik	Greater Geelong	Melbourne
 Monash 	 Manningham 	 Hobsons Bay 	Port Phillip
Mornington	Mitchell	Macedon Ranges	 Stonnington
 Whitehorse 	 Moonee Valley 	Melton	• Yarra
	 Moreland 	 Moorabool 	Yarra Ranges
		South Gippsland	
		Whittlesea	
		Wyndham	
9235 2214	9235 2213	9235 1436	9235 7112





Penny Mueller & Sheridan Blunt

Things that will help

Which reference document is which?

Integrated Water Management Strategy Sets the direction for water management in the municipality

Council operations

Internal WSUD policy

Policy that directs the processes staff should take when considering and implementing projects

Implementation plan

Council's plan to meet targets through implementation of projects.

Community

Planning scheme amendment

Can be undertaken by Councils to ensure that WSUD is incorporated into new developments. Tools to support developers in applying WSUD

Setting WSUD targets



Model WSUD guidelines



Standard drawings

Produced by Council to assist developers in proposing WSUD for new sites.

You are not alone

Other players...

- Melbourne Water
- Water authorities
- WSUD practitioners groups
- Department of Health
- Departments in your own Council
- Other councils



Keep in mind

- Each strategy is different but there is a process to help
- The story doesn't end when your strategy is developed
 - o implementing
 - o **monitoring**
 - o communicating
- There are useful reference documents and people you can talk to

Model WSUD Guidelines

Part One - WSUD Policy Commitment

Local context
Melbourne's Urban Water System
WSUD Guiding Principles – city as a catchment
Legislation and Policy Framework

Part Two – Getting WSUD On The Ground

Starting the Project – decision making checklist
Scoping WSUD Options – sustainable water hierarchy
Considering Environmental Impacts – aquatic, land, biosolids
Being Carbon Sensitive – greenhouse considerations
Considering Life Cycle Costs –true costs over time
Assessing the Risks – risk management framework
Site Design and Approvals – planning and health approvals
Maintaining WSUD Assets - maintenance

Part Three – Case Studies

Part Four – Fact Sheets

Part Five - Glossary









Floor Questions

Marion Urrutiaguer Penny Mueller Sheridan Blunt





Discussion

- Where is your organisation on this journey?
- What are the next steps you might take?
- Who would you involve and how?

Report Back





Wrap Up

Sheridan Blunt

Experignt character / www.chtwiedts.ant.na



- **Strategy** is important
- **Targets** are a key part of an integrated water strategy
- **Tools** and support are available
- **Reference documents** make it easier









